SINGLE MOTION DIFFUSION

Anonymous authors

Paper under double-blind review

Abstract

Synthesizing realistic animations of humans, animals, and even imaginary creatures, has long been a goal for artists and computer graphics professionals. Compared to the imaging domain, which is rich with large available datasets, the number of data instances for the motion domain is limited, particularly for the animation of animals and exotic creatures (*e.g.*, dragons), which have unique skeletons and motion patterns. In this work, we introduce SinMDM, a Single Motion Diffusion Model. It is designed to learn the internal motifs of a single motion sequence with arbitrary topology and synthesize a variety of motions of arbitrary length that remain faithful to the learned motifs. We harness the power of diffusion models and present a denoising network explicitly designed for the task of learning from a single input motion. SinMDM is crafted as a lightweight architecture, which avoids overfitting by using a shallow network with local attention layers that narrow the receptive field and encourage motion diversity. Our work applies to multiple contexts, including spatial and temporal in-betweening, motion expansion, style transfer, and crowd animation. Our results show that SinMDM outperforms existing methods both qualitatively and quantitatively. Moreover, while prior network-based approaches require additional training for different applications, SinMDM supports these applications during inference. Our code is included as supplementary material and will be published.

1 INTRODUCTION

Animation of 3D characters is a long pursued task in computer graphics with many applications, from the big screen to virtual reality headsets. It is notoriously known as a time-consuming task done by expert artists. In recent years, neural models have offered faster and less expensive tools for modeling motion (Holden et al., 2016; Petrovich et al., 2022; Raab et al., 2023). In particular, the very recent adaptation of diffusion models into the motion domain provides unprecedented results in both quality and diversity (Tevet et al., 2023; Kim et al., 2022).

These data-driven methods typically require large amounts of data for training. However, motion data is quite scarce. Moreover, for non-human skeletons, it barely exists. The few available datasets contain humanoids only, with fixed topology and bone ratio. Animators often customize a skeleton per character (human, animal, or magical creature), for which common data-driven techniques are irrelevant.

In this work, we present a Single Motion Diffusion Model, dubbed SinMDM, that trains on a *single motion* input sequence. Our model enables modeling motions of arbitrary skeletal topology, which often have no more than one animation sequence to learn from. SinMDM can synthesize a variety of variable-length motion sequences that retain the core motion elements of the input and can handle complex and non-trivial skeletons. For example, our model can generate a diverse clan based on one flying dragon or one hopping ostrich.

In the motion domain, learning from a single instance has been explored by Li et al. (2022) using GANs (Goodfellow et al., 2014) and by Li et al. (2023) using Motion-graphs (Kovar et al., 2002). We advocate diffusion models (Ho et al., 2020) for single input learning, as gradual denoising enhances descriptive capability and avoids mode-collapse using a rather lightweight scheme that, compared to the prior art, generates high-quality motions. Furthermore, we demonstrate that diffusion models can be effectively utilized with limited data, challenging the notion that they solely rely on large datasets.

To learn local motion sequences, the receptive field must be small enough, analogously to the use of patch-based discriminators (Isola et al., 2017; Li & Wand, 2016) in GAN-based techniques. The use of a narrow receptive field (Fig. 2) promotes diversity and reduces overfitting. We show the importance of narrow receptive fields in our ablation studies.

Most motion diffusion models use transformers (Vaswani et al., 2017). However, vanilla transformers are not suitable for learning a single sequence, as their receptive field encompasses the entire motion. A similar challenge arises with UNets (Ronneberger et al., 2015), commonly used in image diffusion models. Its depth, along with global attention layers, creates a receptive field covering the whole motion.

SinMDM leverages the concept of narrow receptive fields and introduces a motion architecture specifically designed with this concept. It combines a shallow UNet model adapted for motion with a



Figure 1: SinMDM learns the internal motion motifs from a single motion sequence with arbitrary topology and synthesizes motions that are faithful to the learned core motifs of the input sequence. **Left:** a girl exercising while walking. **Right:** a breakdancing dragon. Left to right: breakdance uprock, breakdance freeze, and breakdance flair.

QnA (Arar et al., 2022) local attention mechanism, instead of global attention. As a result, SinMDM outperforms prior art both quantitatively and qualitatively, and demonstrates high efficiency with shorter training time and less memory consumption. Imputed to its lightweight architecture, SinMDM can be trained on a single mid-range GPU.

We present many use cases of SinMDM. While neural-network-based prior arts require designated training per application, ours are applied at inference time, with no need to re-train. Moreover, applications that require different dedicated algorithms in prior art, are here grouped together as special cases of the same technique, significantly simplifying their use. One of the applications we present is *Motion Composition*, where a given motion sequence is composed jointly with a synthesized one, either temporally or spatially. Its special cases include in-betweening and motion expansion. Another application that we present is *Harmonization*, along with its special case, style transfer. Here, a reference motion is modified to match the learned motion motifs. It should be emphasized that implementing style transfer using a denoising model is a non-trivial task, and enabling it through motion harmonization is unique. We further present two more applications: *long sequence generation* and *crowd animation*.

In our work, we suggest two comprehensive benchmarks for single-motion evaluation. The first is built upon the artistically crafted MIXAMO (2021) dataset, utilizing metrics that do not require an additional feature-extracting model. The second is based on the HumanML3D (2022) dataset and enables metrics that use latent features, such as SiFID (Shaham et al., 2019). We show that our model outperforms current works on both benchmarks.

Works with limited fidelity or diversity may excel in one metric, but struggle in others. Thus, rather than favoring models that shine on a specific criterion, we use the Harmonic Mean metric, which balances given scores and quantifies their weighted performance.

2 RELATED WORK

Single-Instance Learning The goal of single-instance generation is to learn an unconditional generative model from a single instance, capturing patch-level statistics for generating diverse content. The instance type varies by input domain, with a majority in imaging. The first works on this topic are SinGAN (Shaham et al., 2019) and InGAN (Shocher et al., 2019). SinGAN uses a patch-based discriminator (Isola et al., 2017; Li & Wand, 2016) and an image pyramid to hierarchically generate diverse results. InGAN uses a conditional GAN to solve the same problem using geometry transformation. More recent approaches include ExSinGAN (Zhang et al., 2021c), which trains multiple modular GANs to model the distribution of structure, semantics, and texture, and ConSinGAN (Hinz et al., 2021), which trains several stages sequentially and improves SinGAN. Many works in the imaging domain follow and improve these works (Asano et al., 2020; Granot et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2021; Lin et al., 2020; Sun & Liu, 2020; Sushko et al., 2021; Yoo & Chen, 2021; Zhang et al., 2022b; Zheng et al., 2021).

Similar to us, Wang et al. (2022) and Nikankin et al. (2023) avoid the pyramid structure and use a UNet with limited depth. Kulikov et al. (2023) construct a multi-scale diffusion process from down-sampled versions of the training image and their blurry versions. Single-motion models operate in the temporal domain and hence do not face strong perspective projection as may occur in the imaging domain.

Several works have been done in other domains, e.g., shapes (Wu & Zheng, 2022) and 3D scenes (Son et al., 2023). In the motion domain, Ganimator (Li et al., 2022) follows SinGAN and uses a GAN architecture, with a patch-based discriminator and a temporal pyramid. GenMM (Li et al., 2023) follows GPNN (Granot et al., 2022), and employs non-parametric patch nearest-neighbor methods, implemented with motion-graphs (Kovar et al., 2002), and yielding higher-quality outputs with a significantly reduced generation time. Yet, nearest-neighbor methods have limited generalization capabilities, and hence are

mainly suitable for tasks involving "copying" parts of the input. In this respect, learning-based methods, like Ganimator, offer more applicability as shown in their style-transfer task.

Ganimator (2022) is our immediate comparison reference, as it is the only prior single-motion work that uses networks and learns to generalize. Sec. 6 and our supplementary video show that SinMDM outperforms it quantitatively and qualitatively. Moreover, Ganimator uses a complex architecture that combines a temporal hierarchy of motions with a skeletal hierarchy of joints. Our model uses neither hierarchies, which makes it simple in architecture and efficient in time and space.

Diffusion Models Diffusion models have been adapted from thermodynamics (Sohl-Dickstein et al., 2015; Song & Ermon, 2020) to the imaging domain(Ho et al., 2020; Song et al., 2020a). A generated image can be further controlled by classifier Dhariwal & Nichol (2021) or classifier-free (Ho & Salimans, 2022) guidance. Local editing of images may be viewed as an inpainting problem, in which a portion of the image is held constant while the model denoises the remaining part (Song et al., 2020b; Saharia et al., 2022). In our work, we adapt this technique for spatial and temporal motion composition.

In the motion domain, several works (Zhang et al., 2022a; Kim et al., 2022) introduce diffusion-based synthesis. The prominent one is MDM (Tevet et al., 2023), which utilizes a lightweight network, uses a transformer rather than the common UNet and predicts motion rather than noise. Like MDM, SinMDM presents a lightweight architecture and predicts motion rather than noise. Unlike MDM, our work uses a QnA-based UNet, as the receptive field of a transformer is the full motion, inducing over-fitting.

Motion Synthesis Models Most of motion synthesis models focus on specific tasks, conditioned on some limiting factors, which can be high-level guidance such as action (Petrovich et al., 2021; Guo et al., 2020; Cervantes et al., 2022) or text (Tevet et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2021a; Petrovich et al., 2022; Ahuja & Morency, 2019; Guo et al., 2022; Bhattacharya et al., 2021; Tevet et al., 2023), can be parts of a motion such as motion prefix (Aksan et al., 2019; Barsoum et al., 2018; Habibie et al., 2017; Yuan & Kitani, 2020; Zhang et al., 2021b; Hernandez et al., 2019) or in-betweening (Harvey et al., 2020; Duan et al., 2021; Kaufmann et al., 2020; Harvey & Pal, 2018), motion retargeting or style transfer (Holden et al., 2017; Villegas et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2019; 2020a;b), and even music (Aristidou et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2018). Fewer models are fully unconditioned (Holden et al., 2016; Raab et al., 2023; Starke et al., 2022).

The architecture of synthesis models can be roughly divided into recurrent (Habibie et al., 2017; Ghorbani et al., 2020), autoencoder based (Maheshwari et al., 2022; Jang & Lee, 2020), GAN based (Degardin et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2020; Yan et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2020), normalizing flows based (Henter et al., 2020), and more recently, neural field based (He et al., 2022) and diffusion based (Tevet et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2022; Shafir et al., 2023). Our work belongs to the latter category.

3 PRELIMINARY

In this work, we present SinMDM, a novel framework that learns the internal motion motifs of a *single motion* of arbitrary topology and generates a variety of synthesized motions that retain the core motion elements of the input sequence. At the crux of our approach lays a denoising diffusion probabilistic model – DDPM (Ho et al., 2020). See Appendix A for a recap of DDPM. Our premise is that diffusion models offer generalization without being susceptible to mode collapse.

We present a lightweight model, efficient in time and space and simple in architecture. This is achieved through the gradual denoising process, which enhances the model's descriptive capability. Our generative network is a UNet (Ronneberger et al., 2015) whose global attention layers are replaced by local QnA layers (Arar et al., 2022).

In the rest of this section we describe our motion representation. Next, we describe our method and design choices (Sec. 4), explore various applications enabled by SinMDM (Sec. 5), detail the experiments conducted to validate our approach (Sec. 6), and summarise with conclusions (Sec. 7). The readers are encouraged to watch the supplementary video to get a full impression of our results.

Motion representation A motion sequence is represented by its dynamic and static features, **D** and **S**, respectively. The former differ at each temporal frame (*e.g.*, joint rotation angles), while the latter is temporally fixed (*e.g.*, bone lengths). **D** and **S** can be combined into global 3D pose sequences using *forward kinematics* (FK). In our research, we focus on synthesizing the *dynamic features*, leaving the static features intact. That is, we predict dynamics for a fixed skeleton topology with fixed bone lengths. For simplicity, we use the term *motion synthesis* for the generation of dynamic features only.

Let N denote the number of frames in a motion sequence, and F denote the length of the features describing a single frame. In the HumanML3D dataset, a frame is redundantly represented with the root position and joint positions, angles, velocities, and foot contact (Guo et al., 2022). For the other datasets used in this work, a frame is represented by joint angles, root positions, and foot contact labels.



Figure 2: Left: To allow training on a single motion, our denoising network is designed such that its overall receptive field covers only a portion of the input sequence. This effectively allows the network to simultaneously learn from multiple local temporal motion segments. Our denoiser predicts the input sequence from a noisy one. $x_t^0 \dots x_t^N$ is a motion of N frames at diffusion step t. Right: Our network is a shallow UNet, enhanced with a QnA local attention layer.

We represent the dynamic features of a motion by a tensor $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times F}$. Naturally, the convolution for this representation is 1D, convolving on the temporal dimension (of size N) and holding F features. More motion representation details can be found in Appendix B.

4 GENERATIVE NETWORK

Our goal is to construct a model that can generate a variety of synthesized motions that retain the core motion motifs of a single learned input sequence. More formally, we would like to construct the generative network p_{θ} (Eq. 2, Appendix A) that synthesizes a motion \hat{x}_0 from a noised motion x_t .

Unlike traditional single-instance methods that utilize down-sampled instance pyramids for coarse-tofine learning, our model employs a straightforward architecture without requiring any pyramids.

Our key insight is that internal motifs are learned more effectively with a limited receptive field (Fig. 2 Left). We design SinMDM, a novel generative architecture, accordingly. Our model is a QnA-based degenerated UNet (Fig. 2 Right). UNets (Ronneberger et al., 2015) are commonly used by imaging diffusion models (Nichol et al., 2022). However, training a single-input UNet leads to overfitting due to its large receptive field, yielding synthesized sequences that closely resemble the input.

Our first design choice in mitigating this issue is to decrease the depth of the UNet and thereby limit the receptive field width. However, this step alone is not enough, since standard UNets employ global attention layers, resulting in a receptive field that encompasses the entire sequence. A possible solution would be using local attention in non-overlapping windows, like in ViT (Dosovitskiy et al., 2021). Nonetheless, non-interleaving windows tend to limit the cross-window interaction, compromising the model's performance. Our solution is to use QnA (Arar et al., 2022), a state-of-the-art shift-invariant local attention layer, that aggregates the input locally in an overlapping manner, much like convolutions, but with the expressive power of attention. The key idea of QnA is to introduce learned queries, shared by all windows, allowing fast and efficient implementation. Specifically, QnA enables local attention with a temporally narrow receptive field. Our QnA-based UNet is the first to be used in the motion domain, where we plug QnA layers instead of the global attention layers of a vanilla UNet. QnA is substantially more efficient than global attention in space and time, and our model benefits from this advantage as a byproduct. A detailed description of the QnA layers is available in Appendix D.

In Sec. 6, we validate these design choices. We show the effectiveness of a narrow receptive field, and justify the usage of QnA layers and the choice of a UNet rather than a transformer. In Appendix C, we provide a comprehensive list of the hyperparameters that can be used to reconstruct our results.

5 APPLICATIONS

Single-motion learning using diffusion models enables various applications. All our applications are applied at inference time, with no need to re-train. Unlike SinMDM, Ganimator (2022) requires specialized training for most of its applications, and GenMM (2023), is limited to replications hence cannot handle complex applications like harmonization. In the following, we show *Motion Composition* (Sec. 5.1), where a given motion sequence is composed jointly with a synthesized one, either temporally or spatially. Its special cases include *in-betweening, motion expansion, trajectory control,* and *joints control.* With our *Motion Harmonization* (Sec. 5.2), a reference input motion is altered to align with the learned motion motifs. We illustrate one important special case, *style transfer.* Lastly, we show how straightforward use (Sec. 5.3) of SinMDM enables *one shot long motion generation* and *crowd animation.* The applications presented here are also demonstrated in our supplementary video.

5.1 MOTION COMPOSITION

Given an arbitrary reference motion y unseen by our model, and a region of interest (ROI) mask m (Avrahami et al., 2022), our goal is to synthesize a new motion \hat{x}_0 , such that the regions of interest



Figure 3: **Temporal composition – motion expansion.** Motion pairs show varied synthesis from a single input. The input, distinguishable by its faded color, is temporally expanded. Note that the parts given as input are identical in both sequences, while the synthesized parts differ. **Left:** synthesize a suffix given a temporal prefix. **Right:** synthesize a prefix and a suffix, given the middle part.



Figure 4: Motion composition. Parts from a reference motion y, are composed with the synthesized motion \hat{x}_0 , according to a composition map.



Figure 5: **Harmonization.** During inference, we inject guidance from input y_0 by adding its low frequencies y_{t-1}^{LP} in each step t.

 $\hat{x}_0 \odot m$ are synthesized from random noise, while the complementary area remains as close as possible to the given motion y, *i.e.*, $y \odot (1-m) \approx \hat{x}_0 \odot (1-m)$, where \odot is element-wise multiplication. The model should output a coherent motion sequence, where the transition between given and synthesized parts is seamless. Moreover, the reference motion can be an arbitrary one, on which our model has *not* been trained. When the reference motion y is very different from the learned motion, blending between the two becomes less smooth. To mitigate this issue, we change the ROI mask such that the borders between the given and the synthesized motion segments are linearly interpolated, as depicted in Fig. 4. We fix the motion segments that need to remain unchanged and sample the parts that need to be filled in. Each step of the iterative inference process (described in Appendix A) is slightly changed, such that parts of y are assigned into \hat{x}_0 according to the indices of the mask. That is, $\hat{x}_0 \odot (1-m) = y \odot (1-m)$.

Temporal composition – use cases: in-betweening, motion expansion Temporal composition is the action of filling in selected frame sequences. *In-betweening* (Harvey et al., 2020), depicted in Fig. 6, is a special case of temporal composition, where the filled-in part is at the temporal interior of the sequence, and the reference *y* is *not necessarily* from the same distribution as the learned motion. Another special case of temporal composition is *motion expansion*, the motion domain's equivalent of image outpainting (Yu et al., 2019; Lin et al., 2021; Teterwak et al., 2019), where the model generates content that resides beyond the edges of a reference motion sequence. In the case of motion expansion, the ROI mask is zeroed in the center frames, and assigned ones in the outer regions. See Fig. 3.

Spatial composition – use cases: trajectory control, joints control Motion composition can be applied spatially, by assigning selected joint indices to the ROI mask. In Fig. 7 we illustrate control over the upper body, where the motion of the upper body is determined by a reference motion and assigned to the target motion. The model synthesizes the rest of the joints yielding a motion with the given sequence in the upper body, and with the learned motifs in the lower body. A composition can be both spatial and temporal, and all it takes is an ROI mask where several frame sequences are zeroed, *i.e.*, taken from the reference motion, and in the complementary part, several joints are zeroed (see Fig. 4).

5.2 MOTION HARMONIZATION

Given a synthesized motion sequence x_0 , we would like to integrate a portion of an unseen motion, y, into it. The portion of y can be either temporal, *i.e.*, several frames, or spatial, *i.e.*, several joints, or



Figure 6: **Temporal composition – In-betweening.** Both rows show results for the same reference input, introducing diverse outputs. The beginning and the end (in faded tones) are given, hence identical in both rows. The center of each motion is synthesized, hence the difference between rows.



Figure 7: **Spatial composition. Top:** "warmup" – an unseen reference motion. **Bottom:** composed motion. The learned motion is "walk in circle". In the composed result, the top body part performs a warm-up activity, and the bottom walks in a curved path.





Figure 9: **Style transfer. Top:** one unseen content is applied to both styles. **Left:** a "happy" style learned by the network, with the harmonized result below. **Right:** a "crouched" style. Note the character in both results is using the exact step rhythm and size as in the content motion.

Figure 10: **Crowd animation.** Groups of jaguars, horses, and ostriches. In each group, no motion is like the other, and yet they are all learned from a single motion sequence.

both. As visualized in Fig. 5, SinMDM overrides a window in x_0 with the desired portion of y and denotes the outcome y_0 . Next, y_0 is harmonized such that it matches the core motion elements learned by our model, using a linear low-pass filter ϕ_N as suggested by Choi et al. (2021). Let x'_{t-1} and y_{t-1} denote the noised version of motions $p_{\theta}(x_t, t)$ and y_0 , respectively. The high-frequency details of x'_{t-1} are added to the low-frequency of y_{t-1} via $x_{t-1} = \phi_N(y_{t-1}) + x'_{t-1} - \phi_N(x'_{t-1})$.

Note the difference between harmonization and motion composition: Both assign parts of an unseen sequence y into a synthesized motion x_0 . However, harmonization changes the assigned part such that it matches the learned distribution, while composition aims to keep it unchanged.

Style transfer We implement style transfer (Fig. 9) as a special case of harmonization, where we use all of y instead of a portion of it, hence fully overriding x_0 . We use a model-learned style motion x and an unseen content motion y. After harmonization, the result combines y's content with x's style.

5.3 STRAIGHT-FORWARD APPLICATIONS

In this section, we present applications that may require unique methods in prior research but pose no such challenge when employing our model.



Figure 8: **Long motion.** "a person walking back, turning, and walking back again". Learned on a 10-second sequence and synthesized to 60 seconds.

Long motion sequences Our model can synthesize variable-length motions, even very long ones, with no additional training. Imputed to its small receptive field, the model can hallucinate a sequence as long as requested. An example of a one-minute animation is introduced in Fig. 8.

Crowd animation Although trained on a single sequence, during inference SinMDM can generate a diverse crowd, each sampled from a different Gaussian noise $x_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$, as shown in Fig. 10.

6 EXPERIMENTS

Our experiments are held on data from the HumanML3D (2022), Mixamo (2021), and Truebones Zoo (2022) datasets, and on an artist-created animation, using an NVIDIA GeForce RTX 2080 Ti GPU.

6.1 BENCHMARKS

We test our framework on two benchmarks, based on the HumanML3D and the Mixamo datasets. These two datasets are different in many aspects. The data in HumanML3D fits the SMPL (Loper et al., 2015) topology, and its users normally use SMPL's mean body definition. In contrast, Mixamo provides 70 characters, each possessing their unique bone lengths and some possessing unique topologies. In addition, the motions in the Mixamo dataset are more diverse and more dynamic.

6.2 METRICS

For the Mixamo benchmark, we use the metrics introduced in Ganimator (Li et al., 2022). However, these metrics are based on the values of motion features (*e.g.*, rotation angles) while the usage of deep features is the current best practice (Zhang et al., 2018). Given HumanML3D's capability for deep feature calculation, we utilize it to present our results specifically on these features.

We define a *good* score as being either *high* or *low* depending on the specific metric's preference for higher or lower values. Note that a balance of good scores across all metrics is better than excelling in just a few. In particular, good diversity scores coupled with poor fidelity indicate deviation from the input, while good fidelity scores paired with bad diversity suggest overfitting.

An ideal outcome is a combination of good values for all metrics. For models with mixed scores, a better-scoring model is the one whose scores are more balanced. To this end, we follow established literature (Rijsbergen, 1979; Chinchor, 1992) and suggest the Harmonic Mean metric, which is widely used in Machine Learning for this purpose (Taha & Hanbury, 2015). We compute it as follows: first, we normalize the scores for each metric. Normalization is between zero to the metric's maximum value. If the maximum is not known, we select the 90% percentile of the computed scores. For metrics where lower is better, we subtract the score from the maximum value. Note that a negative value is therefore

valid. We compute the Harmonic Mean via $HM = E/(\frac{1}{s_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{s_E})$, where E is the number of metrics in a table and s_i is the normalized score of metric *i*. Additionally, our radar plots (Fig. 11) provide a visual representation of SinMDM's dominance for both benchmarks, considering all metrics.

Metrics on the Mixamo benchmark Our comparison with prior art (Li et al., 2022; 2023) is held on the Mixamo dataset, which is also used by these works. We use metrics suggested by Li et al. (2022).

This group of metrics is applied to the motion itself, and not to deep features. It consists of (a) *coverage*, which is the rate of temporal windows in the input motion x_0 that are reproduced by the synthesized one, (b) *global diversity*, measuring the distance between $tess(\hat{x}_0)$ and x_0 , where $tess(\cdot)$ is a tessellation that minimizes the L2 distance to the input sequence, and (c) *local diversity*, which is the average distance between windows in the synthesized motion \hat{x}_0 and their nearest neighbors in the input one.

However, these metrics lack important qualities which we address here: (d) *inter diversity*, the diversity between synthesized motions. We define *intra diversity* to be the diversity between sub-windows internal to a motion and define (e) *intra diversity diff*, which is the difference between the intra diversity of the synthesized motions and that of the input motion.

Additionally, we measure the following time-space efficiency values: (f) number of network parameters, (g) number of iterations, (h) iteration time, and (i) total running time (the product of the last two). A higher score is better for metrics (a)-(d), and a lower one is better for (e)-(i).

Metrics on the HumanML3D benchmark We use this benchmark to measure metrics that are applied on deep features, obtained with a motion encoder by Guo et al. (2022). The computed metrics are (a) *SiFID* (Shaham et al., 2019), which measures the distance between the distribution of sub-windows in the learned motion and a synthesized one, (b) *inter diversity*, which is the LPIPS distance (Zhang et al., 2018) between various motions synthesized out of one input, and (c) *intra diversity diff*, which is the difference between the intra diversity of the synthesized motions and that of the input motion, where intra diversity is the LPIPS distance between sub-windows in one synthesized motion. For metrics (a) and (c) lower is better, and for metric (b) higher is better.



Figure 11: **Benchmark results**, depicted as radar plots. Our results present a balanced combination of high scores. The displayed scores were first converted to a 'higher is better' and normalized (Sec. 6.2).

6.3 QUANTITATIVE RESULTS

We use the following color scheme in our tables: regular metrics are in grey, the Harmonic Mean metric, which weighs all scores, is in black, and the best scores are in bold.

In Tab. 1 and Fig. 11a, we compare SinMDM with prior works and show that it outperforms them. All the metrics are computed separately on each benchmark motion and then averaged. The metrics that measure time were computed on benchmark motion number 9 only.

To evaluate our performance vs. another motion diffusion model, we compare it with two variations of the MDM (Tevet et al., 2023) framework. The first is a vanilla MDM, trained on a single-motion. The second is a variation of MDM in which we extract short crops out of the single-motion sequence and train an MDM on them. Note that the second variation holds a narrow receptive field.

The comparison is conducted on the HumanML3D dataset with metrics based on deep features. The results are shown in Tab. 2 and Fig. 11b. MDM yields complete overfit, thus its SiFID and intra-diversity scores are perfect (indicating similarity to the input motion), but its inter-diversity scores are low. The overfit of MDM is caused by the global attention it uses. On the other hand, the quantitative results for the second MDM variation indicate divergence from the input motion motifs. These quantitative results are supported by the gualitative results in our supplementary video.

Finally, we reinforce our quantitative results in a user study in which users evaluate model superiority based on diversity, fidelity, and quality. In the study, we compare our model vs. other works. Each pair of models is compared over 8 different motions, and each such comparison is judged by 10 distinct users. The results (Fig. 12) show that our model is significantly preferred by the users. Screenshots from our user study are provided in Appendix E.

6.4 QUALITATIVE RESULTS



Figure 12: User study. The dashed line marks 50%.

Our supp video reflects the quality of our results. It presents multiple synthesized motions, as well as a comparison to other works. Additionally, Fig. 13 depicts SinMDM vs. current work. Other works exhibit mode collapse, overfitting, or jittery motion, while SinMDM demonstrates a coherent motion.

6.5 ABLATION

We examine architectural variations on the HumanML3D benchmark and present the results in Tab. 3. First, as many motion diffusion models favor a transformer over a UNet (Tevet et al., 2023; Kim et al., 2022), we measure the scores for a QnA-based transformer (row 1). To refrain from overfitting, we apply QnA layers within the transformer as we do with the UNet. In addition, to promote diversity and permit the rearrangement of motion components, we employ relative temporal positional embeddings (Shaw

Table 1: **Mixamo benchmark.** SinMDM demonstrates a significant advantage in the harmonic mean metric. Compared to Ganimator, it leads in all metrics but one. Recall that GenMM requires no training time/space, reflected in zero values at the right-hand side of the table. However, its inability to generalize results in inferior scores for most diversity metrics, leading to a lower harmonic mean.

	Coverage ↑	Global Div. ↑	Local Div. ↑	Inter Div.↑	Intra Div. Diff. ↓	$^{\text{\#Param.}}_{\text{(M)}}\downarrow$	$^{\text{#Iter.}}_{\text{(K)}} \downarrow$	$\overset{\text{Iter.}}{\text{Time (s)}}\downarrow$	$\overset{\text{Tot.}}{\text{Time (h)}}\downarrow$	Harmon. Mean ↑
Ganimator	94.3	1.24	1.17	0.09	0.13	21.7	60 (15×4)	0.36	6.0	-0.51
GenMM	98.7	0.4	0.35	0.13	0.05	0	0	0	0	0.56
SinMDM (Ours)	94.3	1.42	1.00	0.13	0.03	5.26	60	0.09	1.5	0.84

Table 2: **HumanML3D benchmark**. Comparison with two variants of the diffusion model MDM. The first exhibits overfitting and the second lacks fidelity to input. Our model attains good and balanced scores in all metrics.

	SiFID↓	Inter Div. ↑	Intra Div. Diff.↓	Harmon. Mean ↑
MDM (2023)	0.01	0.03	0.14	0.05
MDM on crops	13.94	1.64	1.83	-1.16
SinMDM (Ours)	1.87	0.73	0.40	0.59



Table 3: **Ablation**, on the HumanML3D benchmarks. Row 1: QnA-based transformer. Rows 2,3: comparing receptive field widths. Row 1 exhibits divergence and Row 2 indicates overfit. Abbr.: $r.f. \rightarrow$ receptive field, $d \rightarrow$ depth.

	SiFID ↓	Div.	Diff.	$\downarrow Mean \uparrow Mean \uparrow$
Transformer w/ QnA	5.99	1.74	0.57	0.47
wide r.f. (d=3)	0.69	0.20	0.34	0.28



(a) **Mixamo dataset.** Motion *punch to elbow.* Sin-MDM outperforms Ganimator, which experiences mode collapse, and is comparable in quality to the patch nearest-neighbor method GenMM.

(b) **HumanML3D dataset.** MDM exhibits overfit, and MDM trained on crops exhibits jittery motion, *e.g.*, when transferring from standing to jumping without bending the knees before and after.

Figure 13: Qualitative comparison.

et al., 2018; Press et al., 2022; Su et al., 2021) instead of the existing global ones. However, the QnAbased transformer attains a bad SiFiD score, indicating poor fidelity to the input motion.

We continue by confirming that a narrow receptive field produces good results while a wider one induces overfit (rows 2,3). In order to do so, we examine a fixed architecture (QnA-based UNet) with two receptive field widths. We control the width by tweaking the depth of the UNet. Indeed we observe that the model with the wide receptive field overfits (replicates) the input motion, as its inter-diversity is bad while its SinFid and intra-diversity are good.

Note that due to the mixed scores (that indicate either overfit or divergence), the usage of the Harmonic Mean metric is essential as it allows for the assessment of the combined strength of all scores.

7 CONCLUSIONS

We have explored the use of diffusion models on single motion sequence synthesis and designed a motion denoising transformer with a narrow receptive field. Training on single motions is particularly useful in motion domains, where the number of data instances is scarce. Particularly, for animals and imaginary creatures, which have unique skeletons and distinctive motion motifs. The motion of such creatures cannot be captured easily nor learned from the human motion data available.

Our experiments across datasets show that our lightweight diffusion-based method significantly outperforms current work both quantitatively and qualitatively. Moreover, our approach allows the synthesis of particularly long motions and enables a variety of motion manipulation tasks, including spatial and temporal in-betweening, motion expansion, harmonization, style transfer, and crowd animation.

The innate limitation of our method, common to all models (in all domains) that learn a single instance, is the limited ability to synthesize out-of-distribution. The main limitation of our approach, due to the iterative nature of diffusion models, is the relatively long inference time.

Finally, our work shows that diffusion models can learn from limited data, contrary to their reputation for requiring large amounts of data. Nevertheless, in the future, we aim to address the single input limitations, by possibly learning from available motion data of creatures with rather compatible skeletons.

REFERENCES

- Kfir Aberman, Rundi Wu, Dani Lischinski, Baoquan Chen, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Learning characteragnostic motion for motion retargeting in 2d. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 38(4):75, 2019.
- Kfir Aberman, Peizhuo Li, Dani Lischinski, Olga Sorkine-Hornung, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Baoquan Chen. Skeleton-aware networks for deep motion retargeting. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 39(4):62–1, 2020a.
- Kfir Aberman, Yijia Weng, Dani Lischinski, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Baoquan Chen. Unpaired motion style transfer from video to animation. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 39(4):64–1, 2020b.

Adobe Systems Inc. Mixamo, 2021. URL https://www.mixamo.com. Accessed: 2021-12-25.

- Chaitanya Ahuja and Louis-Philippe Morency. Language2pose: Natural language grounded pose forecasting. In 2019 International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV), pp. 719–728, Washington, DC, USA, 2019. IEEE, IEEE Computer Society.
- Emre Aksan, Manuel Kaufmann, and Otmar Hilliges. Structured prediction helps 3d human motion modelling. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 7144–7153, Washington, DC, USA, 2019. IEEE Computer Society.
- Moab Arar, Ariel Shamir, and Amit H Bermano. Learned queries for efficient local attention. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 10841–10852, Washington, DC, USA, 2022. IEEE Computer Society.
- A Aristidou, A Yiannakidis, K Aberman, D Cohen-Or, A Shamir, and Y Chrysanthou. Rhythm is a dancer: Music-driven motion synthesis with global structure. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 1, 2022.
- Yuki Markus Asano, Christian Rupprecht, and Andrea Vedaldi. A critical analysis of self-supervision, or what we can learn from a single image. In 8th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2020, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, April 26-30, 2020, OpenReview.net, 2020. OpenReview.net. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=Blesx6EYvr.
- Omri Avrahami, Dani Lischinski, and Ohad Fried. Blended diffusion for text-driven editing of natural images. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 18208–18218, Washington, DC, USA, 2022. IEEE Computer Society.
- Emad Barsoum, John Kender, and Zicheng Liu. Hp-gan: Probabilistic 3d human motion prediction via gan. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops*, pp. 1418–1427, Washington, DC, USA, 2018. IEEE Computer Society.
- Uttaran Bhattacharya, Nicholas Rewkowski, Abhishek Banerjee, Pooja Guhan, Aniket Bera, and Dinesh Manocha. Text2gestures: A transformer-based network for generating emotive body gestures for virtual agents. In 2021 IEEE Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR), pp. 1–10, Washington, DC, USA, 2021. IEEE, IEEE Computer Society.
- Pablo Cervantes, Yusuke Sekikawa, Ikuro Sato, and Koichi Shinoda. Implicit neural representations for variable length human motion generation. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 356–372. Springer, 2022.
- Jinshu Chen, Qihui Xu, Qi Kang, and MengChu Zhou. Mogan: Morphologic-structure-aware generative learning from a single image, 2021.
- N Chinchor. Muc-4 evaluation metrics in proc. of the fourth message understanding conference 22–29, 1992.
- Jooyoung Choi, Sungwon Kim, Yonghyun Jeong, Youngjune Gwon, and Sungroh Yoon. Ilvr: Conditioning method for denoising diffusion probabilistic models. in 2021 ieee. In CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV), pp. 14347–14356, Washington, DC, USA, 2021. IEEE Computer Society.
- Bruno Degardin, João Neves, Vasco Lopes, João Brito, Ehsan Yaghoubi, and Hugo Proença. Generative adversarial graph convolutional networks for human action synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pp. 1150–1159, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, 2022. IEEE Computer Society.

- Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34:8780–8794, 2021.
- Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, Jakob Uszkoreit, and Neil Houlsby. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. In 9th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2021, Virtual Event, Austria, May 3-7, 2021, OpenReview.net, 2021. OpenReview.net. URL https://openreview.net/ forum?id=YicbFdNTTy.
- Yinglin Duan, Tianyang Shi, Zhengxia Zou, Yenan Lin, Zhehui Qian, Bohan Zhang, and Yi Yuan. Single-shot motion completion with transformer, 2021.
- Saeed Ghorbani, Calden Wloka, Ali Etemad, Marcus A. Brubaker, and Nikolaus F. Troje. Probabilistic character motion synthesis using a hierarchical deep latent variable model. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 1, 2020. ISSN 1467-8659. doi: 10.1111/cgf.14116.
- Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial nets. Advances in neural information processing systems, 27, 2014.
- Brian Gordon, Sigal Raab, Guy Azov, Raja Giryes, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Flex: Extrinsic parametersfree multi-view 3d human motion reconstruction. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 176–196, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2022. Springer, Springer International Publishing.
- Niv Granot, Ben Feinstein, Assaf Shocher, Shai Bagon, and Michal Irani. Drop the gan: In defense of patches nearest neighbors as single image generative models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 13460–13469, Washington, DC, USA, 2022. IEEE Computer Society.
- Chuan Guo, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, Shihao Zou, Qingyao Sun, Annan Deng, Minglun Gong, and Li Cheng. Action2motion: Conditioned generation of 3d human motions. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pp. 2021–2029, New York, NY, USA, 2020. ACM.
- Chuan Guo, Shihao Zou, Xinxin Zuo, Sen Wang, Wei Ji, Xingyu Li, and Li Cheng. Generating diverse and natural 3d human motions from text. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 5152–5161, Washington, DC, USA, 2022. IEEE Computer Society.
- Ikhsanul Habibie, Daniel Holden, Jonathan Schwarz, Joe Yearsley, and Taku Komura. A recurrent variational autoencoder for human motion synthesis. In 28th British Machine Vision Conference, UK, 2017. BMVC.
- Félix G Harvey and Christopher Pal. Recurrent transition networks for character locomotion. In SIG-GRAPH Asia 2018 Technical Briefs, pp. 1–4. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2018.
- Félix G Harvey, Mike Yurick, Derek Nowrouzezahrai, and Christopher Pal. Robust motion inbetweening. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 39(4):60–1, 2020.
- Chengan He, Jun Saito, James Zachary, Holly Rushmeier, and Yi Zhou. Nemf: Neural motion fields for kinematic animation. In *NeurIPS*, 2022.
- Gustav Eje Henter, Simon Alexanderson, and Jonas Beskow. Moglow: Probabilistic and controllable motion synthesis using normalising flows. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 39(6):1–14, 2020.
- Alejandro Hernandez, Jurgen Gall, and Francesc Moreno-Noguer. Human motion prediction via spatiotemporal inpainting. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 7134–7143, Washington, DC, USA, 2019. IEEE Computer Society.
- Tobias Hinz, Matthew Fisher, Oliver Wang, and Stefan Wermter. Improved techniques for training single-image gans. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pp. 1300–1309, Washington, DC, USA, 2021. IEEE Computer Society.

Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. Classifier-free diffusion guidance, 2022.

Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020.

- Daniel Holden, Jun Saito, and Taku Komura. A deep learning framework for character motion synthesis and editing. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 35(4):1–11, 2016.
- Daniel Holden, Ikhsanul Habibie, Ikuo Kusajima, and Taku Komura. Fast neural style transfer for motion data. *IEEE computer graphics and applications*, 37(4):42–49, 2017.
- Phillip Isola, Jun-Yan Zhu, Tinghui Zhou, and Alexei A Efros. Image-to-image translation with conditional adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 1125–1134, Washington, DC, USA, 2017. IEEE Computer Society.
- Deok-Kyeong Jang and Sung-Hee Lee. Constructing human motion manifold with sequential networks. In *Computer Graphics Forum*, volume 39, pp. 314–324, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2020. Wiley Online Library, The Eurographics Association and John Wiley and Sons Ltd.
- Manuel Kaufmann, Emre Aksan, Jie Song, Fabrizio Pece, Remo Ziegler, and Otmar Hilliges. Convolutional autoencoders for human motion infilling. In 2020 International Conference on 3D Vision (3DV), pp. 918–927, Washington, DC, USA, 2020. IEEE, IEEE Computer Society.
- Jihoon Kim, Jiseob Kim, and Sungjoon Choi. Flame: Free-form language-based motion synthesis & editing, 2022.
- Lucas Kovar, Michael Gleicher, and Frédéric Pighin. Motion graphs. In *Proceedings of the 29th annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques (SIGGRAPH 2002).*, pp. 473–482. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2002.
- Vladimir Kulikov, Shahar Yadin, Matan Kleiner, and Tomer Michaeli. Sinddm: A single image denoising diffusion model. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 17920–17930, PMLR, 2023. PMLR, PMLR.
- Juheon Lee, Seohyun Kim, and Kyogu Lee. Listen to dance: Music-driven choreography generation using autoregressive encoder-decoder network, 2018.
- Chuan Li and Michael Wand. Precomputed real-time texture synthesis with markovian generative adversarial networks. In *European conference on computer vision*, pp. 702–716, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2016. Springer, Springer International Publishing.
- Peizhuo Li, Kfir Aberman, Zihan Zhang, Rana Hanocka, and Olga Sorkine-Hornung. Ganimator: Neural motion synthesis from a single sequence. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 41(4):138, 2022.
- Ruilong Li, Shan Yang, David A Ross, and Angjoo Kanazawa. Learn to dance with aist++: Music conditioned 3d dance generation, 2021.
- Weiyu Li, Xuelin Chen, Peizhuo Li, Olga Sorkine-Hornung, and Baoquan Chen. Example-based motion synthesis via generative motion matching. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 2023.
- Chieh Hubert Lin, Hsin-Ying Lee, Yen-Chi Cheng, Sergey Tulyakov, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. Infinitygan: Towards infinite-pixel image synthesis. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, OpenReview.net, 2021. OpenReview.net.
- Jianxin Lin, Yingxue Pang, Yingce Xia, Zhibo Chen, and Jiebo Luo. TuiGAN: Learning versatile image-to-image translation with two unpaired images. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 18–35, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2020. Springer, Springer International Publishing.
- Matthew Loper, Naureen Mahmood, Javier Romero, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Michael J Black. Smpl: A skinned multi-person linear model. *ACM transactions on graphics (TOG)*, 34(6):1–16, 2015.
- Shubh Maheshwari, Debtanu Gupta, and Ravi Kiran Sarvadevabhatla. Mugl: Large scale multi person conditional action generation with locomotion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pp. 257–265, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, 2022. IEEE Computer Society.
- Alexander Quinn Nichol, Prafulla Dhariwal, Aditya Ramesh, Pranav Shyam, Pamela Mishkin, Bob McGrew, Ilya Sutskever, and Mark Chen. GLIDE: towards photorealistic image generation and editing with text-guided diffusion models. In Kamalika Chaudhuri, Stefanie Jegelka, Le Song, Csaba Szepesvári, Gang Niu, and Sivan Sabato (eds.), *International Conference on Machine Learning*, *ICML 2022, 17-23 July 2022, Baltimore, Maryland, USA*, volume 162 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pp. 16784–16804, PMLR, 2022. PMLR. URL https://proceedings. mlr.press/v162/nichol22a.html.

- Yaniv Nikankin, Niv Haim, and Michal Irani. Sinfusion: Training diffusion models on a single image or video. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 26199–26214, PMLR, 2023. PMLR, PMLR.
- Niki Parmar, Prajit Ramachandran, Ashish Vaswani, Irwan Bello, Anselm Levskaya, and Jon Shlens. Stand-alone self-attention in vision models. In Hanna M. Wallach, Hugo Larochelle, Alina Beygelzimer, Florence d'Alché-Buc, Emily B. Fox, and Roman Garnett (eds.), Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2019, NeurIPS 2019, December 8-14, 2019, Vancouver, BC, Canada, pp. 68-80, 2019. URL https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2019/hash/ 3416a75f4cea9109507cacd8e2f2aefc-Abstract.html.
- Mathis Petrovich, Michael J. Black, and Gül Varol. Action-conditioned 3D human motion synthesis with transformer VAE. In *International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pp. 10985–10995, Washington, DC, USA, October 2021. IEEE Computer Society.
- Mathis Petrovich, Michael J. Black, and Gül Varol. TEMOS: Generating diverse human motions from textual descriptions. In *European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2022. Springer International Publishing.
- Ofir Press, Noah Smith, and Mike Lewis. Train short, test long: Attention with linear biases enables input length extrapolation. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, OpenReview.net, 2022. OpenReview.net. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=R8sQPpGCv0.
- Jia Qin, Youyi Zheng, and Kun Zhou. Motion in-betweening via two-stage transformers. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 41(6):1–16, 2022.
- Sigal Raab, Inbal Leibovitch, Peizhuo Li, Kfir Aberman, Olga Sorkine-Hornung, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Modi: Unconditional motion synthesis from diverse data. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 13873–13883, Washington, DC, USA, 2023. IEEE Computer Society.
- Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. Hierarchical textconditional image generation with clip latents, 2022.
- CJ Rijsbergen. Information retrieval. online book http://www. dcs. gla. ac. uk/keith. *Preface. html*, 1979.
- Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *International Conference on Medical image computing and computerassisted intervention*, pp. 234–241, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2015. Springer, Springer International Publishing.
- Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Huiwen Chang, Chris Lee, Jonathan Ho, Tim Salimans, David Fleet, and Mohammad Norouzi. Palette: Image-to-image diffusion models. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2022 Conference Proceedings*, pp. 1–10, New York, NY, USA, 2022. ACM.
- Yonatan Shafir, Guy Tevet, Roy Kapon, and Amit H Bermano. Human motion diffusion as a generative prior, 2023.
- Tamar Rott Shaham, Tali Dekel, and Tomer Michaeli. Singan: Learning a generative model from a single natural image. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 4570–4580, Washington, DC, USA, 2019. IEEE Computer Society.
- Peter Shaw, Jakob Uszkoreit, and Ashish Vaswani. Self-attention with relative position representations. In *Proceedings of NAACL-HLT*, pp. 464–468, 2018.
- Assaf Shocher, Shai Bagon, Phillip Isola, and Michal Irani. Ingan: Capturing and retargeting the" dna" of a natural image. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 4492–4501, Washington, DC, USA, 2019. IEEE Computer Society.
- Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 2256–2265, PMLR, 2015. PMLR, PMLR.

- Minjung Son, Jeong Joon Park, Leonidas Guibas, and Gordon Wetzstein. Singraf: Learning a 3d generative radiance field for a single scene. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 8507–8517, Washington, DC, USA, 2023. IEEE Computer Society.
- Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, OpenReview.net, 2020a. OpenReview.net.
- Yang Song and Stefano Ermon. Improved techniques for training score-based generative models. Advances in neural information processing systems, 33:12438–12448, 2020.
- Yang Song, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Diederik P Kingma, Abhishek Kumar, Stefano Ermon, and Ben Poole. Score-based generative modeling through stochastic differential equations. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, OpenReview.net, 2020b. OpenReview.net.
- Sebastian Starke, Ian Mason, and Taku Komura. Deepphase: Periodic autoencoders for learning motion phase manifolds. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 41(4):1–13, 2022.
- Jianlin Su, Yu Lu, Shengfeng Pan, Ahmed Murtadha, Bo Wen, and Yunfeng Liu. Roformer: Enhanced transformer with rotary position embedding, 2021.
- Guofei Sun, Yongkang Wong, Zhiyong Cheng, Mohan S Kankanhalli, Weidong Geng, and Xiangdong Li. Deepdance: music-to-dance motion choreography with adversarial learning. *IEEE Transactions* on Multimedia, 23:497–509, 2020.
- Wenyue Sun and Bao-Di Liu. Esingan: Enhanced single-image gan using pixel attention mechanism for image super-resolution. In 2020 15th IEEE International Conference on Signal Processing (ICSP), volume 1, pp. 181–186, Washington, DC, USA, 2020. IEEE, IEEE Computer Society.
- Vadim Sushko, Dan Zhang, Juergen Gall, and Anna Khoreva. Generating novel scene compositions from single images and videos, 2021.
- Abdel Aziz Taha and Allan Hanbury. Metrics for evaluating 3d medical image segmentation: analysis, selection, and tool. *BMC medical imaging*, 15(1):1–28, 2015.
- Piotr Teterwak, Aaron Sarna, Dilip Krishnan, Aaron Maschinot, David Belanger, Ce Liu, and William T Freeman. Boundless: Generative adversarial networks for image extension. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 10521–10530, Washington, DC, USA, 2019. IEEE Computer Society.
- Guy Tevet, Brian Gordon, Amir Hertz, Amit H Bermano, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Motionclip: Exposing human motion generation to clip space. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2022: 17th European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23–27, 2022, Proceedings, Part XXII*, pp. 358–374, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2022. Springer, Springer International Publishing.
- Guy Tevet, Sigal Raab, Brian Gordon, Yoni Shafir, Daniel Cohen-or, and Amit Haim Bermano. Human motion diffusion model. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, OpenReview.net, 2023. OpenReview.net. URL https://openreview.net/forum? id=SJ1kSy02jwu.
- Truebones Motions Animation Studios. Truebones, 2022. URL https://truebones.gumroad.com/. Accessed: 2022-1-15.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- Ruben Villegas, Jimei Yang, Duygu Ceylan, and Honglak Lee. Neural kinematic networks for unsupervised motion retargetting. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 8639–8648, Washington, DC, USA, 2018. IEEE Computer Society.
- Weilun Wang, Jianmin Bao, Wengang Zhou, Dongdong Chen, Dong Chen, Lu Yuan, and Houqiang Li. Sindiffusion: Learning a diffusion model from a single natural image, 2022.
- Zhenyi Wang, Ping Yu, Yang Zhao, Ruiyi Zhang, Yufan Zhou, Junsong Yuan, and Changyou Chen. Learning diverse stochastic human-action generators by learning smooth latent transitions. In Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence, volume 34, pp. 12281–12288, Washington, DC, USA, 2020. AAAI Press.

Rundi Wu and Changxi Zheng. Learning to generate 3d shapes from a single example, 2022.

- Sijie Yan, Zhizhong Li, Yuanjun Xiong, Huahan Yan, and Dahua Lin. Convolutional sequence generation for skeleton-based action synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference* on Computer Vision, pp. 4394–4402, Washington, DC, USA, 2019. IEEE Computer Society.
- Jihyeong Yoo and Qifeng Chen. Sinir: Efficient general image manipulation with single image reconstruction. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 12040–12050, PMLR, 2021. PMLR, PMLR.
- Jiahui Yu, Zhe Lin, Jimei Yang, Xiaohui Shen, Xin Lu, and Thomas S Huang. Free-form image inpainting with gated convolution. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pp. 4471–4480, Washington, DC, USA, 2019. IEEE Computer Society.
- Ping Yu, Yang Zhao, Chunyuan Li, Junsong Yuan, and Changyou Chen. Structure-aware human-action generation. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 18–34, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2020. Springer, Springer International Publishing.
- Ye Yuan and Kris Kitani. Dlow: Diversifying latent flows for diverse human motion prediction. In European Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 346–364, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2020. Springer, Springer International Publishing.
- Jia-Qi Zhang, Xiang Xu, Zhi-Meng Shen, Ze-Huan Huang, Yang Zhao, Yan-Pei Cao, Pengfei Wan, and Miao Wang. Write-an-animation: High-level text-based animation editing with character-scene interaction. In *Computer Graphics Forum*, volume 40, pp. 217–228, Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2021a. Wiley Online Library, The Eurographics Association and John Wiley and Sons Ltd.
- Mingyuan Zhang, Zhongang Cai, Liang Pan, Fangzhou Hong, Xinying Guo, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. Motiondiffuse: Text-driven human motion generation with diffusion model, 2022a.
- Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, Alexei A Efros, Eli Shechtman, and Oliver Wang. The unreasonable effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 586–595, Washington, DC, USA, 2018. IEEE Computer Society.
- Yan Zhang, Michael J Black, and Siyu Tang. We are more than our joints: Predicting how 3d bodies move. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 3372–3382, Washington, DC, USA, 2021b. IEEE Computer Society.
- ZiCheng Zhang, Cong Ying Han, and TianDe Guo. Exsingan: Learning an explainable generative model from a single image, 2021c.
- Zicheng Zhang, Yinglu Liu, Congying Han, Hailin Shi, Tiande Guo, and Bowen Zhou. Petsgan: Rethinking priors for single image generation. In *Thirty-Sixth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI*, pp. 3408–3416, Washington, DC, USA, 2022b. AAAI Press. URL https: //ojs.aaai.org/index.php/AAAI/article/view/20251.
- Zilong Zheng, Jianwen Xie, and Ping Li. Patchwise generative convnet: Training energy-based models from a single natural image for internal learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 2961–2970, Washington, DC, USA, 2021. IEEE Computer Society.
- Yi Zhou, Connelly Barnes, Jingwan Lu, Jimei Yang, and Hao Li. On the continuity of rotation representations in neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 5745–5753, Washington, DC, USA, 2019. IEEE Computer Society.

APPENDIX

This Appendix adds details on top of the ones given in the main paper. While the main paper stands on its own, the details given here may shed more light.

In Appendix A we provide a concise recap of Denoising Diffusion Probabilistic Models (DDPM). Appendix B describes the dynamic features predicted by our network, and C details the hyperparameters used by it. In Appendix D we describe the QNA framework, and lastly, in Appendix E we depict screenshots from our user study.

A DENOISING DIFFUSION PROBABILISTIC MODELS (DDPM) – RECAP

DDPMs (Ho et al., 2020) have become the de-facto leading generative networks technique. While they have primarily dominated the imaging domain (Dhariwal & Nichol, 2021), recent works have successfully applied this approach in the motion domain (Tevet et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2022a). Denoising networks learn to convert unstructured noise to samples from a given distribution, through an iterative process of progressively removing small amounts of Gaussian noise.

Given an input motion sequence x_0 , we apply a Markov noising process of T steps, $\{x_t\}_{t=0}^T$, such that

$$q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(\sqrt{\alpha_t}x_{t-1}, (1-\alpha_t)I), \tag{1}$$

where $\alpha_t \in (0,1)$ are constant hyper-parameters. When α_t is small enough, we can approximate $x_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0,I)$.

We apply unconditional motion synthesis that models x_0 as the reversed diffusion process of gradually cleaning x_T , using a generative network p_{θ} . Following Tevet et al. (2023) we choose to predict the input motion, denoted \hat{x}_0 (Ramesh et al., 2022) rather than predicting ϵ_t , hence

$$\hat{x}_0 = p_\theta(x_t, t). \tag{2}$$

We apply the widespread diffusion loss, via

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{simple}} = \mathbb{E}_{t \sim [1,T]} \| x_0 - p_\theta(x_t, t) \|_2^2.$$
(3)

Synthesis at inference time is applied through a series of iterations, starting with pure noise x_T . In each iteration, a clean version of the current sample x_t is predicted using a generator p_{θ} . This predicted clean sample \hat{x}_0 is then "re-noised" to create the next sample x_{t-1} , with the process being repeated until t = 0 is reached.

B MOTION REPRESENTATION – ADDITIONAL DETAILS

This section completes the Motion Representation section in the main paper.

In this section, we describe the dynamic features predicted by our network.

Recall that N denotes the number of frames in the sequence, and F denotes the length of the features of all joints together in a single motion frame.

Let J denote the number of skeletal joints, and let Q denote the number of rotational features, where rotational features may be Euler angles, quaternions, 6D rotations, etc. Let C denote the number of joints that are prone to contact the ground. Clearly, a human, a spider, and a snake possess different values of C.

When using the HumanML3D (Guo et al., 2022) dataset, we adhere to its representation, in which a single pose is defined by

$$p = (\dot{r}^a, \dot{r}^x, \dot{r}^z, r^y, j^p, j^v, j^r, c^f) \in \mathbb{R}^F,$$

where $\dot{r}^a \in \mathbb{R}$ is the root angular velocity along the Y-axis. $\dot{r}^x, \dot{r}^z \in \mathbb{R}$ are root linear velocities on the XZ-plane, and $r^y \in \mathbb{R}$ is the root height. $j^p \in \mathbb{R}^{3J}$, $j^v \in \mathbb{R}^{3J}$ and $j^r \in \mathbb{R}^{6J}$ are the local joint positions, velocities, and rotations with respect to the root, and $c^f \in \mathbb{R}^4$ are binary features denoting the foot contact labels for four foot joints (two for each leg).

When using data from other datasets, we adhere to the representation used by Li et al. (2022), so we can conduct a fair comparison with their results. Their representation consists of a 3D root location, a rotation angle for each joint, and foot contact labels. Altogether, for a general representation $D \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times F}$, we have got F = 3 + JQ + C.

The rotations in both representations are defined in the coordinate frame of their parent in the kinematic chain, and are represented by the 6D rotation features (Q = 6) Zhou et al. (2019), which yields the best result in many works (Qin et al., 2022; Petrovich et al., 2021).

A growing number of works use foot contact labels (Gordon et al., 2022; Raab et al., 2023) to mitigate common foot sliding artifacts. Let C denote the set of joints that contact the ground in the subject whose motion is being learned such that $C = |\mathbf{C}|$. The foot contact labels are represented by $\mathbf{L} \in \{0, 1\}^{N \times C}$.

When a dataset provides foot contact label information (Guo et al., 2022), we use it as is. When a dataset does not provide them, we calculate it as done by Li et al. (2022), via

Name	Value		
UNet related			
num_channels	256		
channel_mult	1		
num_res_blocks	1		
kernel_size	3		
use_scalse_shift_norm	True		
use_checkpoint	True		
use_attention	True		
use_qna	True		
QnA related			
head_dim	32		
num_heads	4		
Diffusion related			
diffusion_steps	1000		
noise_schedule	cosine		
Training related			
batch_size	64		
dropout	0.5		
lr_method	ExponentialLR		
lr_gamma	0.99998		
num_steps	60000		
padding_mode	zeros		
warmup_steps	0		
weight_decay	0		

Table 4: Our choice of hyperparameters, given with the same names as used in the code.

$$\forall j \in \mathbf{C}, n \in [1, N]: \qquad \mathbf{L}^{nj} = \mathbf{1}[\|\Delta_n \mathsf{FK}([\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{S}])^{nj}\|_2 < \epsilon],\tag{4}$$

where $\Delta_n \text{FK}([\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{S}])^{nj}$ denotes the velocity of joint j in frame n retrieved by a forward kinematics operator, and $\mathbf{1}[\cdot]$ is an indicator function.

C HYPERPARAMETERS AND TRAINING DETAILS

In Tab. 4 we detail the values of the hyperparameters that have been used to produce the results shown in this work. Our models have been trained on an NVIDIA GeForce RTX 2080 Ti GPU.



Figure 14: QnA overview (extracted from the QnA paper). Left: Local layers may utilize various approaches to overlapping windows. (a) Convolutions apply aggregation by learning shared weighted filters. (b) SASA (2019) combines window tokens through self-attention. (c) QnA use shared learned queries across windows, maintaining the expressive power of attention while achieving linear space complexity.



Figure 15: QnA demonstrates better accuracy-efficiency trade-off compared to state-of-the-art baselines (extracted from the QnA paper).

D QNA RECAP

QnA layers (Arar et al., 2022) are a fundamental component in our suggested architecture. In this section, we provide an overview of its underlying implementation and illustrate it in Fig. 14. In particular, QnA is an efficient attention-based layer, which operates in a shift-invariant manner. For every k-size window, the output is calculated using the self-attention mechanism which is commonly used in the transformer architecture (Vaswani et al., 2017). The self-attention is calculated by first projecting the input features into keys $K = XW_K$, values $V = XW_V$, and queries $Q = XW_Q$ via three linear projection matrices $W_K, W_V, W_Q \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$. Then, the output of the self-attention operation is defined by:

$$SA(X) = Attention (Q, K) \cdot V$$

= Softmax $\left(QK^T/\sqrt{D}\right) \cdot V.$ (5)

Instead of performing the pricey query-key operation, QnA detours from extracting the queries from the window itself and directly learns them for the whole-training data (see Fig. 14c). Learning the queries preserves the expressive capability of the self-attention mechanism and enables an efficient implementation that relies on simple and fast operations. In particular, a single query \tilde{q} is learned, and the attention is applied locally for every k-size window. Therefore, the output at entry z_i becomes:

$$z_i = \text{Attention}\left(\tilde{q}, K_{\mathcal{N}_i}\right) \cdot V_{\mathcal{N}_i},\tag{6}$$

where \mathcal{N}_i is the set k-neighbourhood of frame i.

QnA exhibits state-of-the-art accuracy-efficiency trade-off, as depicted in Fig. 15.

E USER STUDY – SCREENSHOTS

Our user study displays several video clips on each screen, requesting the user to select the one that is more suitable to the examined attribute, which is either quality, fidelity, or diversity. Screenshots from a representative video for each attribute are shown in Fig. 16.



(c) Diversity.

Figure 16: Screenshots from our user study. Note that each human figure in the screenshot is played as a video.